

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeals of)
EDWIN W. NORMANDY)

Appearances:

For Appellant: A. J. Porth

For Respondent: James T. Philbin
Supervising Counsel

OPINION

These appeals are made pursuant to section 18593 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protests of Edwin W. Normandy against proposed assessments of additional personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$2,460.77 for the year 1978.

Appeals of Edwin W. Normandy

The question for determination is whether appellant has established any error in respondent's proposed assessments of personal income tax and penalties.

Appellant did not file a California personal income tax return for the year in issue. After receiving information indicating that appellant was required to file a return for the year 1978, respondent advised him that it had no record of his having filed a return for that year, and it demanded that he file. Appellant stated that he was not subject to the California personal income tax and, therefore, he was not required to file a return. Respondent then issued proposed assessments based upon information obtained from the California Employment Development Department. Included in the proposed assessment are penalties for negligence, failure to file a return, failure to file after notice and demand, and failure to pay estimated tax.

Respondent's determinations of additional tax and penalties are presumptively correct, and appellant has the burden of proving them erroneous. (Appeal of K. L. Durham, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., March 4, 1980; Appeal of Harold G. Jindrich, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., April 6, 1977.) No such proof has been presented. Appellant's contentions that he is not a "taxpayer" and is not required to file returns are clearly without merit, based as they are on a variety of frivolous "constitutional" objections to the existing system of income (See Appeal of Harry Sievert, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., April 8, 1980; Appeal of Arthur W. Keech, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., July 26, 1977.) On the basis of the evidence before us, we-can-only conclude that respondent correctly computed appellant's tax liability, and that the imposition of penalties was fully justified. Respondent's action in this matter will, therefore, be sustained.

Appeals of Edwin W. Normandy

0 R D **E** R

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protests of Edwin W. Normandy against proposed assessments of additional personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$2,460.77 for the year 1978, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 29th day of September, 1981, by the State Board of Equalization, with Board Members Mr. Dronenburg, Mr. Reilly and Mr. Nevins present.

Ernest J. Dronenburg, Jr.	, Chairman
George R. Reilly	, Member
Richard Nevins	, Member
	, Member
	, Member